

Physical layer network coding for 4G and beyond

Alister Burr, University of York, U.K. and
Agisilaos Papadogiannis, Chalmers
University, Sweden

Meixia Tao, Shanghai Jiaotong University


agb1@ohm.york.ac.uk

- The challenge of next generation wireless networks
- Next generation architectures
- Physical layer network coding
- Two-way relaying in hierarchical networks
- Conclusions


- To provide full Internet connectivity to **everyone, anywhere**
 - which means wirelessly
- Next generation wireless research has usually focussed on a 'headline' maximum data rate
 - but this will not be the rate most users experience, and probably is not the most important figure
 - In densely-populated cities a network for everyone must provide extremely high **capacity densities**
- Various estimates of required capacity densities:
 - 1 Gbps/km², 10 Gbps/km², 25 Gbps/km²...

- Currently one base station serves about 1km^2
 - 4G bandwidths proposed are $\sim 40\text{ MHz}$
 - Best available *bandwidth efficiency* averages about 2 bits/s/Hz across cell
 - hence capacity density is 80 Mbit/s/km^2
 - assumes 100% frequency re-use
- We need 1-2 orders of magnitude more!
 - $100\times$ more bandwidth unlikely to be available
 - It is conjectured that Shannon bounds on link bandwidth efficiency are already close, but...

- The major limiting factor for spectral efficiency is **interference**
 - especially at cell edges
- A solution in principle is **network MIMO**
- All BS antennas in all cells collaborate to serve all users
- The entire system then operates as a multi-user MIMO system with (on the downlink) $n_T \times n_C$ transmit and $n_R \times n_U \times n_C$ receive antennas
 - where n_C : no. of cooperating cells
 n_T : no. of TX antennas at BS
 n_R : no. of RX antennas at mobile
 n_U : no. of users
- In principle multiplexing gain approaches $\min(n_T \times n_C, n_R \times n_U \times n_C)$
- Interference is eliminated, since all signals are useful




- On the downlink, if two BSs cooperate to communicate with an MS, that MS's data should be sent to both
 - could double backhaul requirements
- On the uplink, neither may be able to decode the MS without the signal from the other
 - hence analogue signal may need to be transmitted over the backhaul in high precision
 - may increase backhaul requirements by several times
- In addition accurate CSI required at all BSs




- Will probably need a combination of:
 - More spectrum
 - Network MIMO for improved bandwidth efficiency
 - **Increased BS density**
 - requires **low base station installation cost**,
 - and a **cost-effective *backhaul* network**
 - which also can support backhaul demands of network MIMO

- The challenge of next generation wireless networks
- **Next generation architectures**
- Physical layer network coding
- Two-way relaying in hierarchical networks
- Conclusions


- Simple comparison with 4G proposals suggests we may need >10 BSs per km^2 !
- We believe that the only cost-effective way to provide this must at least involve wireless backhaul
- However must allow for spectrum used by backhaul links
- Hence must minimise backhaul load
 - and network MIMO will tend to increase it significantly




- Alternatively cell can be extended by adding fixed, or *infrastructure* relays
 - very similar architecture to wireless backhaul
 - with relays replacing ABSs
 - may allow direct connection of MSs to hub



- A generalised framework for network architectures involving wireless backhaul and/or relaying
- we might allow:
 - more than one layer of relays
 - direct connections between nodes on the same level
 - MSs to connect to different relay levels
- Similar to mesh network in structure




- If we use wireless backhaul, we must account for bandwidth occupied
- Can we re-use the same spectrum in backhaul and access segments?
 - *in-band backhauling*
- Duplexing restrictions of ABSs usually prevent same resources being used in the two segments in the same place




- The challenge of next generation wireless networks
- Next generation architectures
- **Physical layer network coding**
- Two-way relaying in hierarchical networks
- Conclusions


- A network node applies a joint coding function to two (or more) incoming data streams
 - instead of simply switching between them
- Simplest application – the two way relay channel (TWRC)
 - allows a relay to support transmissions in two directions at once
 - Relay sends XOR combination of two incoming streams to both destinations
 - Each destination can then reconstruct data intended for it by XOR combination with the data it transmitted




- In a wireless network, we do not have discrete, non-interfering paths
 - except by using TDMA or FDMA
- Signals:
 - are broadcast to all nodes within range
 - combine additively in signal space
- However it is still possible to extract a joint information stream equivalent to XOR combination






- System operates in two phases
 - Phase 1: sources transmit simultaneously
 - Phase 2: relay transmits
- Assume both sources transmit BPSK
 - $\{+1, -1\} \Leftrightarrow \{1, 0\}$



a	b	a+b	$a \oplus b$
0	0	-2	0
0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1
1	1	+2	0




- Without network coding
- Network layer network coding
- Physical layer network coding




- The challenge of next generation wireless networks
- Next generation architectures
- Physical layer network coding
- **Two-way relaying in hierarchical networks**
- Conclusions

Two-way relaying in hierarchical wireless network

- Duplexing constraints can be alleviated using 2-way relaying
 - allows access and backhaul resource to be shared at each RBS
 - however neighbouring RBSs and corresponding MSs may interfere
- We have analysed this considering a simple scenario
- Assume 2 RBSs share resources over 2 slots
 - i.e. both RBSs and MSs transmit simultaneously on same channel
 - consider amplify-and-forward and network coded decode-and-forward relaying



- Plot resulting capacity against strength of interfering links
 - for small interference DF is better
 - for large interference AF capacity increases again
 - both MSs can exploit both ABSs
 - exploits a form of network MIMO
 - Generally 2 slots are better than three or four



- Next generation wireless networks will be required to handle much larger *capacity densities*
- MIMO techniques can greatly increase link capacity
 - but still seriously affected by interference in cellular networks
- **Multi-user MIMO** can greatly increase capacities
 - especially for asymmetric systems
- **Network MIMO** can further increase capacity
 - and also largely eliminate interference
 - however increases backhaul load

- **Physical Layer Network Coding** can better exploit backhaul capacity
 - by allowing backhaul links to be shared
 - by exploiting correlation of signals travelling by different routes
 - by overcoming duplexing constraints on spectrum sharing
- All these techniques will be essential elements of next generation networks!